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#### Abstract

Four lanthanide coordination polymers with benzophenone－4， $4^{\prime}$－dicarboxylic acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bpndc}\right)$ and 1，10－phenan－ throline $($ phen $),\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{bpndc})_{3}(\mathrm{phen})\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}(\mathbf{1}), \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{2})$ and $\mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{3})),\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{bpndc})_{1.5}(\mathrm{phen})\right] \bullet 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$ were ob－ tained through solvothermal synthesis．The crystallographic data show that $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ ，and $\mathbf{3}$ are isostructural，the Ln （III） ions in $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are all eight－and ten－coordinated，respectively，and thus the $\operatorname{Ln}$（III）ions are connected by bpndc ligands，resulting in an interpenetrating 3D structure．While in 4 ，the $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions are eight－coordinated and con－ nected by bpndc ligands into a 3D structure with 1D rhombic channels，which result from the effect of lanthanide contraction from $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{III})$ to $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions，and the bpndc ligands in $\mathbf{1 , 2}, \mathbf{3}$ ，and $\mathbf{4}$ display three types of coordination modes．
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## Introduction

Recently，the coordination polymers based on dicar－ boxylic acid have been studied extensively for their importance as promising materials．${ }^{1-7}$ So the rational design and synthesis of novel coordination polymers with useful functions attract considerable attention．As well known，the design of extended structure with po－ tential applications can be realized by starting with connecting ligands capable of binding metal centers strongly and predictably to afford the structures with expected functions．${ }^{8}$ Moreover，the covalent metal－ ligand bonds are stronger than hydrogen bonds and have more intense directionality than $\pi-\pi$ stacking etc．Thus metal－ligand interactions can direct the formation of the target metal－organic polymers．In view of this，dicar－ boxylic acid ligands are very suitable for acting as con－ nectors to construct coordination polymers due to their multifunctional carboxyl groups and multiple coordina－ tion sites．In the dicarboxylic acid ligands，the linear ligands are liable to form the porous coordination poly－ mers ${ }^{1,2,9-11}$ and layered polymers with intriguing struc－ ture．${ }^{5,12}$ But there are not many researches on lanthanide based coordination polymers with linear dicarboxylic acid ligands ${ }^{1,2,8}$ and even less attention has been paid to the effect of lanthanide contraction on structures of co－ ordination polymers．Based on these conceptions，the title complexes，$\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\text { bpndc })_{3}(\right.$ phen $\left.)\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}(\mathbf{1}), \mathrm{Pr}$ （2）， $\mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{3}))$ and $\left[\mathrm{Yb}(\text { bpndc })_{1.5}(\right.$ phen $\left.)\right] \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$ were synthesized and characterized．

## Experimental

## Materials and instruments

$\mathrm{LaCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{PrCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{TbCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ，and $\mathrm{YbCl}_{3} \bullet$ $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were prepared by dissolving their oxides in hy－ drochloric acid，respectively，and then dried．Benzo－ phenone－4， $4^{\prime}$－dicarboxylic acid was purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification．All other reagents were commercially available and used as re－ ceived．

The X－ray single crystal data collections for com－ plexes 1，2， $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were performed on a Bruker Smart 1000 CCD diffractometer，using graphite－monochro－ mated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation（ $\lambda=0.071073 \mathrm{~nm}$ ）．Semiem－ pirical absorption corrections were applied using the SADABS program．The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full－matrix least square on $F^{2}$ using the SHELXTL－97 program．All non－hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically．The hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically and treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement．

Elemental analyses were performed on an Elementar Vario EL analyzer．The IR spectra were recorded with a Nicolet Avatar 360 FT－IR spectrometer using the KBr pellets technique．

## Preparation of complexes 1－4

Synthesis of［ $\mathbf{L a}_{2}(\text { bpndc })_{3}($ phen $)$ ］（1）：The sol－ vothermal reaction of $\mathrm{LaCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ ， benzophenone－4，4＇－dicarboxylic acid（ $0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 0.225$ mmol ）， 1,10 －phenanthroline（ $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）， NaOH

[^0]( $0.438 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethanol (5 mL ) was performed in 23 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave at $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 d , then the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The colorless needle-like crystals were separated from the reaction mixture and washed with ethanol to give a ca. $63.2 \%$ yield $(0.060 \mathrm{~g})$ of $\mathbf{1}$ based on $\mathrm{LaCl}_{3} \bullet 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Anal. calcd (found) for 1: C 53.45 (53.46), H 2.52 (2.47), N 2.19 (2.11).

Syntheses of complexes 2, $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 are similar to that of $\mathbf{1}$. The light green needle-like crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ gave a $c a$. $71.8 \%$ yield $(0.068 \mathrm{~g})$ based on $\mathrm{PrCl}_{3} \bullet 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Anal. calcd (found) for 2: C 53.28 (53.34), H 2.51 (2.37), N 2.18 (1.85). The colorless needle-like crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ gave a $c a$. $67.1 \%$ yield ( 0.066 g ) based on $\mathrm{TbCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Anal.
calcd (found) for 3: C 52.55 (52.24), H 2.12 (1.80), N 2.48 (2.30). The colorless needle-like crystals of 4 gave a ca. $61.5 \%$ yield $(0.071 \mathrm{~g})$ based on $\mathrm{YbCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Anal. calcd (found) for 4: C 52.20 (52.22), H 2.77 (2.61), N 3.66 (3.18).

## Results and discussion

The crystallographic data of $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ are given in Table 1 , which show that complexes $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ are isostructural, and herein only complexes 2 and $\mathbf{4}$ will be described in detail. The selected bond lengths and angles of 2 and 4 are listed in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 1 Crystal data for $\mathbf{1 - 4}$

| Complex | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{La}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34.5} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Yb}$ |
| Formula weight | 1262.67 | 1266.67 | 1302.69 | 764.57 |
| Crystal system | Hexagonal | Hexagonal | Hexagonal | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 6(5) 22$ | $P 6(5) 22$ | $P 6(5) 22$ | $C 2 / c$ |
| $a / \mathrm{nm}$ | $1.5482(3)$ | $1.5469(2)$ | $1.54007(19)$ | $3.0832(11)$ |
| $b / \mathrm{nm}$ | $1.5482(3)$ | $1.5469(2)$ | $1.54007(19)$ | $0.9948(4)$ |
| $c / \mathrm{nm}$ | $4.0320(15)$ | $4.0115(11)$ | $3.9484(10)$ | $2.3147(8)$ |
| $\beta /\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | $121.185(5)$ |
| $Z$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| $V / \mathrm{nm}^{3}$ | $8.369(4)$ | $8.312(3)$ | $8.110(2)$ | $6.074(4)$ |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }} d^{3}\left(\mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.503 | 1.518 | 1.600 | 1.672 |
| Temp. $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $293(2)$ | $293(2)$ | $293(2)$ | $293(2)$ |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.577 | 1.805 | 2.663 | 3.136 |
| $R$ Reflections collected | 27861,4782 | 42879,4872 | 34031,4808 | 17112,6242 |
| Total, independent |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.2806 | 0.1466 | 0.1475 | 0.0503 |
| $\lambda($ Mo K $\alpha), \mathrm{nm}$ | 0.071073 | 0.071073 | 0.071073 | 0.071073 |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | $0.0864,0.1418$ | $0.0569,0.1266$ | $0.0586,0.1222$ | $0.0392,0.0789$ |

Table 2 Selected bond lengths ( nm ) and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 2

| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.2499(8)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4$ | $0.2566(7)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1$ | $0.2499(8)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3$ | $0.2729(16)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.2602(7)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | $0.2729(16)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $0.2602(7)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | $0.274(2)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | $0.249(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | $0.274(2)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | $0.249(2)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $0.2345(10)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.2400(8)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | $0.2345(10)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | $0.2400(8)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.2693(8)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.2566(7)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4$ | $0.2693(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1$ | $73.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | $138.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $50.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | $68.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $77.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | $76.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | $14.94(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | $123.7(7)$ |


| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 90.8(5) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 47.6(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 125.5(3) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 116.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | 74.6(3) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 71.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 77.4(3) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 116.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | 50.2(2) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 47.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | 90.8(5) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 127.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 149.4(5) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 152.3(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | 125.5(3) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 108.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | 115.3(3) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 79.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | 152.4(5) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4$ | 76.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 72.4(5) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3$ | 127.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 80.5(3) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | 71.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | 112.1(3) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 79.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | 72.4(5) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 108.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 152.4(5) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 145.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | 80.5(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 138.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 114.0(10) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4$ | 131.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | 95.1(6) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3$ | 54.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1$ | 74.6(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | 76.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | 112.1(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 89.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | 72.3(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 65.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 95.1(6) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 135.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | 157.3(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 78.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1-\mathrm{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 72.3(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 131.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4$ | 72.9(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4$ | 138.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3$ | 86.6(4) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3$ | 76.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | 145.0(4) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | 54.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 68.8(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 65.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 138.3(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 89.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 76.6(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 78.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 76.0(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 4$ | 135.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 3$ | 145.0(4) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 4$ | 58.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\mathrm{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | 86.6(4) |  |  |

Symmetry operations: \#1-y, $-x,-z+1 / 6 ; \# 2 x-1, y, z ; \# 3-y,-x+1,-z+1 / 6 ; \# 4-x,-x+y,-z+1 / 3 ; \# 5 y,-x+y+1$, $z+1 / 6$.

Table 3 Selected bond lengths (nm) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for 4

| $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.2292(4)$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.2220(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $0.2277(5)$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1$ | $0.2287(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2$ | $0.2449(4)$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.2519(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | $0.2319(4)$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $0.2513(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $126.06(15)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1$ | $123.46(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2$ | $149.07(17)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $69.83(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | $142.07(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $147.52(17)$ |


| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $79.87(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $103.75(16)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1$ | $78.19(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $75.43(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2$ | $76.02(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $137.86(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | $78.73(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $78.75(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $74.46(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $77.38(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1$ | $77.93(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $140.37(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | $54.58(15)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $72.73(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $87.40(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $101.65(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1$ | $131.46(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $80.32(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $141.65(17)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2-\mathrm{Yb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 1$ |  |  |  |

Symmetry operations: \#1 $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1 ; \# 2 x-1 / 2, y+1 / 2, z$.

There are two types of coordination modes of bpndc ligands (Scheme 1, a and b) in 2. Each carboxyl group links $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ ions through tridentate chelating-bridging and bidentate bridging coordination modes in hexadentate and tetradentate bpndc ligands, respectively. Obviously, the carboxyl oxygen atom between two phenyl rings in bpndc ligand does not participate in the coordination to $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ ion. The asymmetric unit of 2 contains two crystallographically independent $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ ions, as shown in Figure 1. $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ is eight-coordinated by two oxygen atoms $[\mathrm{O}(6), \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~A})]$ of two carboxyl groups from two tetradentate bpndc ligands (Scheme 1b) and six oxygen atoms $[\mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~B}), \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{C}), \mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$, $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ ] of four chelating-bridging carboxyl groups from four hexadentate bpndc ligands (Scheme 1a), as shown in Figure 1a. The distances of $\operatorname{Pr}(1)-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) vary from $0.2400(8)$ to $0.2602(7) \mathrm{nm}$ with mean distance being 0.2498 nm . While $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ is ten-coordinated to eight carboxyl oxygen atoms which comprise two oxygen atoms $[\mathrm{O}(7), \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{D})]$ from two carboxyl groups of two tetradentate bpndc ligands (Scheme 1b) and six oxygen atoms $[\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{C}), \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{C}), \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{C}), \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{E})$, $\mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{E})$ ] from four chelating-bridging carboxyl groups of four hexadentate bpndc ligands, and two nitrogen atoms $[\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})]$ of one phen molecule (Figure 1b). The $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) bond lengths are in the range of $2.345(2)$ to $0.274(2) \mathrm{nm}$ with the mean distance of $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) and the $\operatorname{Pr}(2)-\mathrm{N}$ bonds being 0.2595 nm and $0.2693(11) \mathrm{nm}$, respectively. Thus, the mean distance of $\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) is $0.2547 \mathrm{~nm} . \operatorname{Pr}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ ions are linked together by two hexadentate bpndc ligands with chelating-bridging fashion of the carboxyl groups and one tetradentate bpndc ligand with bridging fashion of the carboxyl group to form an asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{2}$. From the difference of coordination numbers between two crystallographically independent $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ ions, it can be known that the steric hindrance around $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ is greater than that around $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ due to the coordinated phen molecule.

In 2, $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ ions are ligated by bpndc ligands into a 3D structure with 1D channels along $a$-axis. Similarly,

Scheme 1 The coordination modes of bpndc ligands in 1, 2, 3 and 4

$\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ atoms are bridged by bpndc ligands into a 3D structure. But the phen molecules coordinated to $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ atoms occupy the 1 D channels and are all parallel to each other along the $b$-axis. Thus the condensed 3D structure of $\mathbf{2}$ is formed by these two interpenetrated 3D structures built up of bpndc ligands and $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ atoms, respectively. The nearest separation of $\operatorname{Pr} \cdots \operatorname{Pr}$ is 0.4084 nm .

In 4, bpndc ligands exhibit two types of coordination modes: (a) two deprotonated carboxyl groups of a bpndc ligand link four $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions in bridging bidentate mode (Scheme 1b); (b) two carboxyl groups of a bpndc ligand are both deprotonated and one connects two $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions in bridging bidentate fashion, while the other bonds to one Yb (III) ion in chelating bidentate mode (Scheme 1c). Different from 1, 2 and 3, there is one coordination environment of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions in $\mathbf{4}$ for the smaller radius of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ion, as shown in Figure 2. $\mathrm{Yb}(1)$ is eight-coordinated to two oxygen atoms [O(4B), O(5B)] of one carboxyl group from one tetradentate bpndc ligand (Scheme 1c) in chelating coordination mode and four oxygen atoms $[\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{O}(6), \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~A})]$ of two carboxyl groups from two tetradentate bpndc ligands (Scheme 1c) and two carboxyl groups from two tetradenate bpndc ligands (Scheme 1b) in bridging

(a)

(b)

Figure 1 The coordination environments of $\operatorname{Pr}(1)$ (a) and $\operatorname{Pr}(2)$ (b) of 2 with thermal ellipsoids at $15 \%$ probability. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
coordination mode, respectively, as well as two nitrogen atoms $[\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(2)]$ of one phen molecule to complete a slightly distorted triangular dodecahedral geometry. The mean $\mathrm{Yb}-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) and $\mathrm{Yb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are 0.2307 and 0.2516 nm , respectively.

Yb (III) ions in 4 are linked by bpndc ligands into a 3D structure with 1D rhombic channels along $b$-axis. In 4, the tetradentate bpndc ligands (Scheme 1c) along [001] and the tetradentate bpndc ligands (Scheme 1b)


Figure 2 The coordination environment of $\mathrm{Yb}(1)$ of $\mathbf{4}$ with thermal ellipsoids at $25 \%$ probability. All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
along [101] acting as the edges of the rhombic channels connect Yb (III) ions through carboxyl groups, and the Yb (III) ions with distorted triangular dodecahedral geometry are located at the apexes of the rhombic channels (Figure 3). The nearest separation of $\mathrm{Yb} \cdots \mathrm{Yb}$ is 0.4245 nm , and the lattice water molecules are held in the 3D structure of 4 .


Figure 3 The 3D structure of 4 with 1D rhombic channel viewed along $b$-axis with all phen molecules and hydrogen atoms being omitted for clarity.

In 1 and 3, the $\mathrm{La}-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) and $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) bond lengths fall in the range of $0.240(2)$ to
$0.288(2) \mathrm{nm}$ and $0.2235(18)$ to $0.268(2) \mathrm{nm}$, respectively. The mean distances of La-O (carboxyl), Pr-O (carboxyl), and $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ (carboxyl) are $0.2581,0.2547$, and 0.2450 nm , respectively, the nearest separations of $\mathrm{La} \cdots \mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Pr} \cdots \mathrm{Pr}$, and $\mathrm{Tb} \cdots \mathrm{Tb}$ are $0.4104,0.4084$, and 0.4006 nm , respectively, and the interlayer distances of $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Tb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions are $1.5482,1.5468$ and 1.5401 nm , respectively. It could be thus concluded that the $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ln} \cdots \mathrm{Ln}$ and interlayer distances decrease with the contraction of the ionic radii from $\mathrm{La}($ III $)$ to $\mathrm{Tb}(\mathrm{III})$.

Due to that the radius of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ion is much smaller than that of $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{III}), \operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$, and $\mathrm{Tb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions, the bpndc ligands in $\mathbf{4}$ adopt different coordination modes to $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions from those in $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$, which directly leads to the completely different 3D structure of 4 from that of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$. Obviously, the carboxyl groups of bpndc ligands exhibit tridentate chelating-bridging coordination mode for the larger radii of La (III) to Tb (III) ions, and the centeral metal ions in the asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ display two crystallographically independent coordination environments with coordination numbers being 8 and 10 , respectively, while there is only one coordination environment around $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ion in 4. Moreover, the number of bpndc ligands around each $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ ion (5) is less than that around each $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ ion $(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Pr}$, and $\mathrm{Tb} ; 6)$. So it can be clearly seen that the lanthanide contraction has quite an effect on the formation and the structures of the title complexes.

## Supplementary materials

The hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically and treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement. CCDC No. 231477-231480 contain the
supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html [or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (internat.) +44-1223/336-033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk]
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